

**FILED**

**FEB 24 2006**

**CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS**

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**

**FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

LETICIA RAMIREZ CUELLAR,

Petitioner,

v.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney  
General,

Respondent.

No. 04-76509

Agency No. A95-199-767

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted February 21, 2006<sup>\*\*</sup>

Before: SCHROEDER, Chief Judge, GOODWIN and RAWLINSON, Circuit  
Judges.

Respondent's motion for summary disposition is granted because the  
questions raised by this petition for review are so insubstantial as not to require  
further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982)

---

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be  
cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> This panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without  
oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

04-76509

(per curiam) (stating standard). Accordingly, this petition for review is denied.

*See* 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(1)(B); *Lopez-Umanzor v. Gonzales*, 405 F.3d 1049, 1053

n.3 (9th Cir. 2005) (noting that presentation of false testimony precludes a finding of good moral character for purposes of cancellation eligibility).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED**